

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/577,352	11/22/2006	Louis A. Carpino	8884	4886	
25922 REINHART BOERNER VAN DEUREN S.C. ATIN: LINDA KASULKE, DOCKET COORDINATOR			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			COUGHLIN,	COUGHLIN, MATTHEW P	
1000 NORTH WATER STREET SUITE 2100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202			1626		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/07/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

IPAdmin@reinhartlaw.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/577,352	CARPINO ET AL.					
Examiner-induced interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Matthew P. Coughlin	1626					
All Participants: Status of Application: pending							
(1) <u>Matthew P. Coughlin</u> . (3)							
(2) Rodney DeKruif.	(4)						
Date of Interview: 28 April 2010	Time: 3:30 pm						
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ Video Conference ☐ Applicant's representative)							
Post I							
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: N/A							
Claims discussed: N/A							
Prior art documents discussed: N/A							
Part II.							
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet							
Part III.							
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 							
/Rebecca L Anderson/							
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)					

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Application No. 10/577,352

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that the case could be placed in condition for allowance upon an examiner's amendment. Applicant's representative inquired whether the proposed changes could be faxed so that he could review the changes himself, and if necessary, with Applicant or a person most suited to review the changes. The examiner indicated that the proposed amendment would be faxed to Applicant. On April 28th, 2010, the examiner faxed the proposed changes along with explanations of the changes. A copy of the faxed document (without the cover sheet) is attached to the instant interview summary as an office action appendix. On May 3", 2010, Rodney DeKruff called the examiner to confirm receipt of the faxed document and indicated that after appropriate review of the proposed changes, he would contact the examiner and indicate whether the proposed changes are acceptable.